

Research Article

Open Access

The effects of temperature on gene expression in the Indo-Pacific reef-building coral *Seriatopora hystrix*: insight from aquarium studies in Southern Taiwan

Anderson B. Mayfield^{1,2}, Yi-Hsuan Chen³, Chang-Feng Dai³, Chii-Shiarng Chen^{1,4,5}

1 National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium, 2 Houwan Rd., Checheng, Pingtung 944, Taiwan, R.O.C.

2 Living Oceans Foundation, 8181 Professional Place, Suite 215, Landover, MD 20785, United States of America

3 Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, 10617 No.1, Sec. 4 Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106, Taiwan, R.O.C.

4 Graduate Institute of Marine Biotechnology, National Dong-Hwa University, 2 Houwan Rd., Checheng, Pingtung 944, Taiwan, R.O.C.

5 Department of Marine Biotechnology and Resources, National Sun Yat-Sen University, 70 Lianhai Rd., Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Corresponding author email: <u>andersonblairmayfield@gmail.com</u>

International Journal of Marine Science, 2014, Vol.4, No.50 doi: 10.5376/ijms.2014.04.0050

Received: 05 Jun., 2014 Accepted: 13 Jul., 2014

Published: 22 Aug., 2014

Copyright © 2014 Mayfield et al., This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Preferred citation for this article:

Mayfield et al., 2014, The effects of temperature on gene expression in the Indo-Pacific reef-building coral *Seriatopora hystrix*: insight from aquarium studies in Southern Taiwan, International Journal of Marine Science, Vol.4, No.50 1–22 (doi: 10.5376/ijms.2014.04.0050)

Abstract Corals from upwelling reefs of Southern Taiwan have been shown to acclimate to both highly variable and abnormally elevated temperatures. To better understand the genetic basis for Taiwanese reef coral acclimation to such temperature regimes, eight and six genes hypothesized to be thermo-sensitive were targeted in specimens of the common stony coral *Seriatopora hystrix* and their endosymbiotic dinoflagellate (genus *Symbiodinium*) communities, respectively, from two reefs in Southern Taiwan exposed to two different temperature profiles. Coral specimens from Houbihu, a reef characterized by extensive, spring-tide upwelling, displayed few provocative gene expression changes when exposed for two days to a temperature they rarely encounter *in situ*, 30°C. In a second experiment, corals from Houbihu and Houwan, the latter being a reef characterized by more stable temperatures, were exposed to either a stable (26°C) or a fluctuating (23-29°C over a 5-h period) temperature regime for seven days, and it was found that 50% of the genes demonstrated significant changes in expression across treatments, regardless of the site of origin. This suggests that exposure to a variable temperature for seven days may have a more dramatic effect on the sub-cellular behavior of this species than a two-day incubation at a stable, though potentially stress-inducing, temperature.

Keywords Acclimation; Acclimatization; Coral reef, Dinoflagellate; Endosymbiosis; Gene expression; Temperature

Background

Given the threats of global climate change (GCC) towards scleractinian corals and the reef ecosystems they construct, there is an urgent need to better understand their physiological (Gates and Edmunds, 1999) and sub-cellular (van Oppen and Gates, 2006) mechanisms of acclimatization to altered temperature regimes (Mayfield et al., 2013a). An emerging model for the study of GCC effects on reef corals is Seriatopora hystrix (Figure 1), which is amongst the most ubiquitously distributed scleractinians in the Indo-Pacific (Loh et al., 2001; Veron, 2000). S. hystrix has served as a model coral for research in diverse locations across the Pacific Rim, such as Australia (e.g., Bongaerts et al., 2011) and Taiwan (e.g., Fan et al., 2006; Putnam et al., 2010; Tung et al., 2006), and is amongst the most sensitive corals to environmental change (Loya et al., 2001). However, in Southern Taiwan, *S. hystrix* lives in relatively warm waters (summer mean = ~ 28.5 °C) whose temperatures can change rapidly due not only to the tidal cycle (Meng et al., 2008), but also to episodic upwelling (Chen et al., 2004; Jan and Chen, 2008).

S. hystrix is known to have limited dispersal capacity (Ayre and Hughes, 2000), a trait that may be associated with its ability to readily adapt to a diverse array of environments (Nunes et al., 2009; Sanford and Kelly, 2011). Indeed, prior work on *S. hystrix* populations from the thermally dynamic upwelling sites mentioned above found that neither the coral hosts nor their resident *Symbiodinium* populations mount a gene-level molecular chaperone (heat shock protein-70 [*hsp70*]) response when exposed to 30°C for 48 h (Mayfield et al., 2011; i.e., the elevated temperature experiment [ETE]). This was hypothesized





Figure 1 Map of Southern Taiwan's Hengchun Peninsula, including the locations of the two study sites (Houwan and Houbihu), alongside an image of the target organism *Seriatopora hystrix*, which is common at both sites. For a detailed treatise on the temperature environment of the two sites, readers are pointed towards Mayfield et al. (2012a). Briefly, the average monthly temperature ranges of Houbihu and Houwan from 2009 to 2010 were 6.33 ± 2.03 and 3.19 ± 0.61 °C, respectively, and this represents a significant difference (student's *t*-test, *t*=5.13, *p*<0.01). The size of the *S. hystrix* colony in the inset is approximately 15 cm in diameter.

to be a stress-inducing temperature given that it is ~1°C greater than the average summer temperature of Houbihu (Figure 1), the upwelling site (UWS) within Nanwan Bay (Taiwan's southernmost embayment) from which the experimental corals were collected; briefly, previous studies (e.g., Coles and Brown, 2003; Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989) have found that extended exposure to temperatures >1°C above the mean summer high can result in bleaching in many reef coral species.

Given these results, Mayfield et al. (2011) hypothesized that *S. hystrix* residing in the UWS may have special adaptations for life in thermodynamically variable environments, as the temperature at the UWS can change by up to 9°C in a single day during spring-tide upwelling events that occur throughout the year (but mainly in the northern summer; Mayfield et al., 2012a). Mayfield et al. (2012a, 2013c) attempted to test this hypothesis with a laboratory-based reciprocal transplant in which corals from this UWS were exposed to either a variable (23-29°C over a 5-h period) or stable (26°C) temperature treatment while conspecifics from a non-upwelling site (i.e., NUWS) characterized by relatively stable (over diel and annual timescales) temperatures, Houwan (Figure 1), were exposed to the same two temperature regimes for seven days. It was hypothesized that corals transplanted to a "foreign" temperature regime would be physiologically compromised in this variable temperature experiment (VTE), though this hypothesis was confirmed only upon assessment of coral growth (Mayfield et al., 2012a); other parameters appear to have been more significantly influenced by the temperature regime alone.

To gain more insight into the genetic basis underlying the phenotypic plasticity of these Taiwanese S. hystrix populations, expression of 14 gene mRNAs whose respective proteins and cellular pathways have been hypothesized to be disrupted upon exposure to elevated temperature was measured in samples of the ETE and VTE (Table 1); these included mRNAs encoding proteins involved in photosynthesis, metabolism, osmoregulation, the cytoskeleton, and the stress response (see the Materials and Methods for detailed rationale for choosing the respective genes.). Gene expression was hypothesized to remain similar over time and between treatments in samples from the UWS exposed to 30°C for two days in the ETE given the lack of hsp70 modulation documented at these temperatures by Mayfield et al. (2011). In contrast, it was hypothesized that the majority of the genes would be expressed at different levels between the stable and variable temperature treatments in the VTE in corals from the UWS only, given that exposure to a familiar temperature change can drive significant changes in mRNA expression in other marine organisms (e.g., Gracey et al., 2008). It was further hypothesized that corals from the UWS would demonstrate higher levels of target gene expression than conspecifics from the NUWS. Briefly, corals inhabiting variable temperature environments could be expected to express higher mRNA levels; the reasoning behind this is due to the need for rapid increases in protein translation at times at which temperature increases have incapacitated the standing pool of intracellular proteins in response to elevated temperature-induced protein denaturation (Hazel and Prosser, 1974). This is a strategy employed by not only intertidal limpets (Dong et al., 2008), but also other corals (Barshis et al., 2013).



Table 1. Summary of the two Seriatopora hystrix experiments conducted at Taiwan's National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium (NMMBA). Although the experiments were conducted a year apart, the same aquarium system was used to conduct each one. Also, the acclimation temperatures, which aimed to approximate *in situ* values at the time of coral collection, were slightly different for the two experiments due to *in situ* differences at the time of coral collection.

Experiment	Elevated temperature experiment	Variable temperature experiment
Abbreviation	ETE	VTE
Manuscript(s)	Mayfield et al. (2011)	Mayfield et al. (2012a, 2013c)
Source population(s) (see Fig. 1.)	Houbihu (upwelling site) only	Houbihu (upwelling site) Houwan (non-upwelling site)
Time of coral collection in situ	June 2009	May 2010
Specimen morphology	whole colony	experimentally fragmented nubbin
Acclimation time	3 weeks	3 weeks
Acclimation temperature	27°C	26°C
Acclimation PAR ¹	300-400 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	300-400 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Time of experimentation	July 2009	June 2010
Temperature treatments	control (27°C)	stable (26°℃)
	high (30°C)	variable (23-29 $^{\circ}$ C over a 5-hr period)
Experimental period PAR	$90\pm10 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$	$90\pm10 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$
Length of treatment	48 h	7 d
Biological replicates/number of	3 aquaria/treatment x 2 treatments =	3 aquaria/treatment/site of origin (SO) x 2
aquaria	6 aquaria total	treatments x 2 SO = 12 aquaria total
Technical replicates	3/tank in Mayfield et al. (2011)	2/tank
	1/tank herein	
Sampling times	0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h	0 and 7 d
	(time 0 samples not discussed herein)	(time 0 samples not discussed herein)
Sample size (<i>n</i>)	90 in Mayfield et al. (2011)	24 (time 7 d only) in Mayfield et al. (2012a)
	24 herein (see text for details.)	24 (time 7 d only) herein
Number of gene mRNAs targeted	2 in Mayfield et al. (2011) +	4 in Mayfield et al. (2012a) +
	12 herein = 14 total	10 herein = 14 total

Notes: ¹photosynthetically active radiation.

1 Results

1.1 ETE: Symbiodinium gene expression

Recovery of the SolarisTM spike (Thermo-Scientific) was similar between treatments and over time (data not shown) in samples of the ETE (Table A1), all 24 of which were found to be composed only of *Symbiodinium* of clade C (C_t <30; C_t >35 for clade A and D assays). *Symbiodinium* gene expression was normalized to both spike recovery and the *Symbiodinium* genome copy proportion (GCP; Figure 2A); the latter of which was also found to be similar across treatments and time (Table A1). Expression of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (*rbcL*; Figure 2B), photosystem I (subunit III; *psI*; Figure 2C), phosphoglycolate phosphatase

(*pgpase*; Figure 2D), nitrate transporter-2 (*nrt2*; Figure 2E), and ascorbate peroxidase (*apx1*; Figure 2F) was similar over time and between treatments (Table A1).

1.2 ETE: host coral gene expression

Expression of the eight host genes was normalized to recovery of the Solaris spike (data not shown) and the host GCP (Figure 3A); the latter of which was found to be stable over time and between treatments (Table A2). Only β -actin (*actb*; Figure 3B) and α -tubulin (*tuba*; Figure 3D) were significantly affected by the interaction of time and temperature; expression of the former in the control nubbins increased ~4-fold from the 24 to the 48 h sampling time (Figure 3B). In contrast, *tuba* was expressed at 2-fold higher levels in



Figure 2 ETE: *Symbiodinium* gene expression. *Symbiodinium* genome copy proportion (GCP [unit-less]; A) and expression of five genes (B-F) in *Seriatopora hystrix* samples exposed to either a control (27° C; hollow squares) or elevated (30° C; filled diamonds) temperature for 48 h. Please see the text or Tables A1-3 for full gene names. Gene expression data were normalized as described in the text and presented as unit-less. In all panels, error bars represent standard error of the mean (*n*=3 replicates per treatment-time).



Figure 3 ETE: host coral gene expression. Host genome copy proportion (GCP [unit-less]; A) and expression of seven target genes (B-H) in *Seriatopora hystrix* samples exposed to either a control (27 °C; hollow squares) or elevated (30 °C; filled diamonds) temperature for 48 h. Please see the text or Tables A1-3 for full gene names. Gene expression data were normalized as described in the text and presented as unit-less. In all panels, error bars represent standard error of the mean (*n*=3 replicates per treatment-time). In panels B and D, letters adjacent to icons signify Tukey's honestly significant difference groups (*p*<0.05) for the interaction effect of time and temperature. Expression of host coral heat shock protein-70 (*hsp70*) gene was measured by Mayfield et al. (2011).

4

the high temperature samples of time 24 relative to the controls. Then, at sampling time 48, expression of this gene was 5-fold higher in controls. Tropomyosin (*trp1*; Figure 3C), *ezrin* (Figure 3E), phospholipase- α 2 (*cplap2*; Figure 3F), organic anion transporter (*oatp*; Figure 3G), and transient receptor cation channel (*trcc*; Figure 3H) were, on the other hand, expressed at similar levels between treatments across the four sampling times (Table A2).

1.3 VTE: Symbiodinium gene expression

Expression of nrt2 (Figure 4A) and heat shock protein-70 (hsp70; Figure 4B) was assessed in Symbiodinium populations within the 24 samples, all of which were shown to be of clade C only by real-time PCR (Ct<30; Ct>35 for clade A and D assays). Although there were no significant effects of temperature, site of origin, or their interaction on expression of the former (Table A3), expression of Symbiodinium hsp70 was significantly higher (1.8-fold) in samples exposed to a variable temperature regime (Table A3). The influence of the temperature regime had a significantly greater influence on Symbiodinium gene expression in samples of the VTE (4/6 of the target genes were significantly affected by temperature when combining the results herein with the three genes [psI, pgpase, and rbcL] assessed by Mayfield et al. [2012a].) versus those of the ETE (0/6; $X^2 = 7.6$, p < 0.01).





Figure 4 VTE: *Symbiodinium* gene expression. *nrt2* (A) and *hsp70* (B) gene expression from *Symbiodinium* within *Seriatopora hystrix* nubbins from Houbihu ("upwelling" site [UWS]) or Houwan ("non-upwelling" site [NUWS]) exposed to either a stable (hollow squares) or variable (filled diamonds) temperature treatment for seven days. Please see the text or Tables A1-3 for full gene names. Gene expression data were normalized as described in the text and presented as unit-less. Error bars represent standard error of the mean in all panels (n=3 replicates [6 pseudo-replicates] per treatment-site of origin), and in panel B only, the letters adjacent to icons denote Tukey's honestly significant difference groups (p<0.05) for the temperature effect only.

1.4 VTE: host coral gene expression

Expression of the stress-targeted gene *hsp70* (Figure 5A), four cytoskeleton-targeted genes; *actb* (Figure 5C), *trp1* (Figure 5D), *tuba* (Figure 5E), and *ezrin* (Figure 5F), and three osmoregulation-targeted genes; *cplap2* (Figure 5G), *oatp* (Figure 5H), and *trcc* (Figure 5I), were normalized to both recovery of the Solaris spike (data not shown) and the host GCP; the latter of which was shown to be stable between sites of origin and temperature treatments (data not shown).

Although host *hsp70* expression was found at significantly higher (~3.5-fold) levels in samples exposed to the stable temperature regime (Figure 5A), there was neither site of origin nor interaction effects (Table A3). There was also no significant correlation

between *Symbiodinium* and host hsp70 expression (Figure 5B; linear regression *t*-test, t=2.1, p>0.05).

The expression of both *actb* (Figure 5C) and *cplap2* (Figure 5G) was affected by the experimental treatments (Table A3); the former was found to be 30% higher in samples exposed to the variable temperature regime. cplap2 was characterized by an interaction effect in which corals from the NUWS exposed to the variable temperature regime expressed 2.1-fold higher levels than corals from the UWS exposed to this same temperature profile. Of the 14 target genes assessed across both compartments, 2 (host actb and tuba; 14%) were significantly affected by temperature in the ETE, whereas 7 (Symbiodinium rbcL, psI, pgpase [from Mayfield et al., 2012a], and hsp70 and host coral hsp70, actb, and cplap2; 50%) demonstrated significant differences between temperature regimes in the VTE. This difference in the overall proportion of target genes demonstrating a significant difference across the two experiments was marginally significant $(X^2=3.9, p=0.05).$

1.5 VTE: host coral genotyping

With the exception of Sh4-001, all microsatellite loci were polymorphic (Table 2); the former was excluded from the calculations. The number of alleles (N_A), observed heterozygosity (H_0) , and expected heterozygosity (He) for each remaining locus ranged from 1 to 7 (mean=3.9), 0 to 0.83 (mean=0.53), and 0 to 0.89 (mean=0.63), respectively. Deviations from equilibrium Hardy-Weinberg and heterozygote deficiencies were suggested by inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) values, which were significant for samples from the UWS ($F_{IS}=0.24$, p<0.05), but not the NUWS (F_{IS} =0.099, p=0.20). The overall F_{IS} value of 0.16 was statistically significant (p < 0.05), suggesting that inbreeding may exist within these two populations. There were no null alleles across the seven loci (data not shown). Finally, no significant genetic differentiation was found between the Houbihu and Houwan samples (fixation index $[F_{ST}]=-0.012$, p>0.05), though this could be partially attributed to the small sample size (*n*=6 for each site of origin).



Table 2 Host coral genotyping results. "N" = sample size. "N_A" = number of alleles. "N_{PA}" = number of private alleles. "H_o" = observed heterozygosity. "H_e" = expected heterozygosity. "F_{IS}" = inbreeding coefficient. "UWS" = upwelling site (Houbihu). "NUWS" = non-upwelling site (Houwan).

Locus		UWS	NUWS	Allele size range (base pairs)
	Ν	6	6	
Sh4-001	N_A	2	1	126-184 (UWS), 184 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	1	0	
	Ho	0.17	0	
	H_{e}	0.17	0	
	F _{IS}	0.00	NA	
Sh2.15	N_A	3	4	268-277 (UWS), 268-278 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	0	1	
	Ho	0.17	0.50	
	H_{e}	0.71	0.71	
	FIS	0.78*	0.32	
Sh2-002	N_A	4	5	123-146 (UWS), 123-146 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	1	2	
	H_{o}	0.50	0.83	
	H_{e}	0.77	0.76	
	FIS	0.38	-0.11	
Sh4.28	N_A	5	4	106-119 (UWS), 107-117 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	2	1	
	Ho	0.67	0.67	
	H_{e}	0.74	0.64	
	FIS	0.11	-0.053	
Sh2-006	N_A	3	3	105-114 (UWS), 105-110 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	1	1	
	Ho	0.50	0.50	
	H_{e}	0.44	0.44	
	FIS	-0.15	-0.15	
Sh4.24	N_A	6	7	260-315 (UWS), 259-313 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	4	5	
	H_{o}	0.83	0.50	
	He	0.88	0.89	
	F _{IS}	0.057	0.46*	
Sh3-004	N_A	3	2	148-154 (UWS), 148-151 (NUWS)
	N_{PA}	1	0	
	H_{o}	0.50	0.50	
	H_{e}	0.59	0.41	
	F _{IS}	0.17	-0.25	
Overall				Mean
	N_A	3.7	4.2	3.9
	N_{PA}	0.48	0.58	0.53
	Ho	0.62	0.64	0.63
	He	0.24*	0.099	0.16*

Notes: **p*<0.05.

1.6 Site of origin comparisons

Upon pooling data across experiments (Figure 6), the *Symbiodinium* GCP (data not shown) was found to be similar between sites of origin (student's *t*-test, *t*=0.91, p=0.37). On the other hand, *rbcL* expression was significantly higher (16-fold) in samples from the UWS relative to those of the NUWS (Wilcoxon Z=3.5, p<0.001). Although expression of *psI* was statistically

similar between the two sites (Z=1.3, p=0.19), *pgpase* was expressed at 2.0-fold higher levels in corals of the UWS (Z=4.7, p<0.001). In contrast, *nrt2* was expressed at similar levels across sites (student's *t*=0.74, p=0.46), as was *apx1* (Wilcoxon Z=1.8, p=0.076). Finally, *Symbiodinium hsp70* was expressed at significantly higher (4.1-fold) levels in corals from the NUWS relative to those of the UWS (Z=3.6, p<0.001).





Figure 5 VTE: host coral gene expression. Expression of eight host coral genes; hsp70 (A), *actb* (C), trp1 (D), *tuba* (E), *ezrin* (F), *cplap2* (G), *oatp* (H), and *trcc* (I), and correlations in hsp70 expression within the holobiont (B) in *Seriatopora hystrix* nubbins from Houbihu ("upwelling" site [UWS]) or Houwan ("non-upwelling" site [NUWS]) exposed to either a stable (hollow squares of panels A and C-I) or variable (filled diamonds of panels A and C-I) temperature for seven days. Please see the text or Tables A1-3 for full gene names. Gene expression data were normalized as described in the text and presented as unit-less. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (n=3 replicates [6 pseudo-replicates] per treatment-site of origin). In panels A and C, letters adjacent to icons correspond to Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) temperature treatment groups only (p<0.05), while letters adjacent to icons in panel G correspond to the interaction effect of site of origin and temperature treatment (Tukey's HSD, p<0.05).

With respect to the host coral genes, *actb* was expressed at similar levels between sites (Wilcoxon Z=0.20, p=0.84). On the other hand, *trp1* was expressed at 41-fold higher levels in corals of the UWS (Z=3.0, p<0.01), and *tuba* was expressed at 2.3-fold higher levels in those from the NUWS (Z=3.5, p<0.001). *ezrin* and *cplap2* were expressed at significantly higher (9.4 and 320-fold, respectively) levels in samples from the UWS relative to those from the NUWS (Z=2.6 and 2.8, p=0.01 and <0.01, respectively), as was *oatp* (140-fold difference; Z=2.3, p<0.05, though see caveats in caption for Figure 6).

The osmoregulation gene *trcc* was expressed at 3.1-fold higher levels in corals from the UWS (*Z*=2.3, p<0.05). Finally, the host molecular chaperone *hsp70* was expressed at significantly lower (1.8-fold) levels in corals from the UWS relative to those of the NUWS (Wilcoxon *Z*=2.5, p<0.05). Although 7/14 (50%) of the genes were more highly expressed in samples from the UWS versus only 3/14 (21%) of the genes demonstrating higher expression in samples from the NUWS, this difference in proportions was not statistically significant ($X^2 = 2.5$, p=0.11).



2 Discussion

From a collective assessment of the data obtained herein and in prior works (Table 3), it appears that *S. hystrix* colonies from Houbihu (UWS), Southern Taiwan

do not demonstrate a pronounced mRNA-level response to a temperature hypothesized to induce stress, 30°C. Specifically, expression of none of the six *Symbiodinium* genes spanning three cellular processes;

Table 3. Summary of results. No coral colonies of the elevated temperature experiment (ETE) or nubbins of the variable temperature experiment (VTE) died over the course of the experiments, and for the ETE, in which only a small proportion of the 18 colonies was sacrificed for molecular analyses, the remaining colonies survived under ambient conditions at NMMBA for at least six months after the termination of the experiment.

			ETE			VTE		
Physiolog	gy		temperature	time	interaction	temperature	site of origin	interaction
survival								
growth (1	ng cm ⁻² day ⁻¹)		NA					*
Symbiodi	inium density (cells	s cm ⁻²)	NA				*	
chloroph	yll a concentration	(pg cell ⁻¹)	NA			*		*
maximun	n quantum yield of	PSII (F_V/F_M)	NA			*	*	
Molecula	ar composition							
Symbiodi	inium hsp70 GCP			*				
RNA/DN	A ratio							
protein/D	NA ratio			*			*	
Symbiodi	inium genotype							
host coral genotype		NA						
Gene expression								
Gene	Compartment	Function						
Solaris s	oike recovery	exogenous spike						*
rbcL	Symbiodinium	photosynthesis				*		
psI	Symbiodinium	photosynthesis				*	*	
pgpase	Symbiodinium	photosynthesis				*		
nrt2	Symbiodinium	metabolism						
apx1	Symbiodinium	stress response						
hsp70	Symbiodinium	stress response		*		*		
hsp70	host	stress response		*		*		
actb	host	cytoskeleton			*	*		
trp1	host	cytoskeleton						
tuba	host	cytoskeleton			*			
ezrin	host	cytoskeleton						
cplap2	host	osmoregulation						*
oatp	host	osmoregulation						
trcc	host	osmoregulation						

Note: p < 0.05. "NA" = not assessed.

photosynthesis, metabolism, and the stress response, differed between treatments at any sampling time in the ETE. On the other hand, expression of host *tuba* decreased 2-fold from the 24 to the 48 h sampling time in the high temperature samples. Although such a decrease could provide evidence in support of the hypothesis that the coral cytoskeleton may become compromised under potentially stress-inducing conditions (Mayfield and Gates, 2007), a similar change in only one other target gene was observed; *actb* was also expressed at higher levels in controls relative to high temperature samples at the final sampling time, though this difference was not statistically significant. It should be noted that *actb*



was the only target gene that was affected by temperature in both experiments, discounting its utility as a housekeeping gene for this coral species. However, the difference in expression between samples of the two temperature regimes was only \sim 30% in the VTE.

rhal	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
IDCL	*	Symbiodinium genes
psl		eynisioannann genee
pgpase	2 222	
nrt2		
apx1		
hsp70z	in in the second se	- *
actb		Host coral gapes
trp1	······································	ribst coral genes
tuba	······································	
ezrin	*	
cplap2	*	
oatp	***************************************	
trcc		
hsp70h	*	
(0 2	4 6
	log-transformed mean fold o	lifference

Figure 6 Global site of origin differences in gene expression. To compare the average expression of each of the 14 target genes across the two sites of origin, Houbihu (upwelling site [UWS]; speckled columns) and Houwan (non-upwelling site [NUWS]; hatched columns), data from the 12 UWS samples of the Seriatopora hystrix variable temperature experiment (VTE) and all 24 samples of the elevated temperature experiment (ETE) were compared against the expression data from the 12 NUWS samples from the VTE. Please see the text or Tables A1-3 for full gene names. Gene expression values were first converted to fold changes relative to the lowest expression sample within the 48 assessed. Then, mean fold changes were log-transformed in order to present all data on the same scale. Asterisks ("*") denote significant differences detected by Bonferroni-adjusted Wilcoxon rank-sum tests, and error bars represent standard error of the mean (n=36 and 12 for the UWS and NUWS, respectively). The statistically significant difference in host coral *oatp* expression between sites must be interpreted with caution, as different primer concentrations were used between experiments (Table 4). "hsp70z" and "hsp70h" refer to the hsp70 homolog from the Symbiodinium and host coral compartments, respectively.

This absence of a temperature-driven response in 12/14 target genes across both compartments of the holobiont in samples exposed to 30° C may suggest that exposure to a stable, elevated temperature regime

does not elicit a stress response in specimens of S. hystrix from Southern Taiwan. Exposure to 30°C has typically been shown to result in stress in S. hystrix elsewhere (Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Loya et al., 2001), and this should manifest at the gene level over the course of only several hours (Feder, 1996). Although it is tempting to speculate that this lack of an mRNA-level response to exposure to 30° C in none of the six Symbiodinium target genes, and all but two (actb and tuba) of the eight host coral gene targets, stems from adaptations to life in a fluctuating temperature environment (Mayfield et al., 2011), a targeting of a greater number of gene and protein candidates over a longer sampling time will be necessary to demonstrate that these corals indeed display no signs of a sub-cellular stress response upon exposure to this temperature; indeed, <0.1% of the transcriptome of the S. hystrix-Symbiodinium holobiont was queried herein, and current efforts employing next generation sequencing-based technology (Mayfield et al., in prep.) seek to assess the whole-transcriptome response of these samples to gain further insight into the molecular mechanisms by which S. hystrix acclimates to altered temperature regimes in the laboratory and acclimatizes to them in the upwelling reef ecosystems in which they reside.

Additionally, in order to determine whether the absence of a gene-level is related to thermal history, a static ETE would also need to be conducted with conspecifics from the NUWS; such an experiment would help to strengthen the hypothesis put forth in prior works (e.g., Oliver and Palumbi, 2011; Mayfield et al., 2013b) that corals from variable temperature environments perform better at elevated temperatures than those from stable temperature habitats. If such a differential response were indeed documented, it would be attributable to acclimatization rather than adaptation, as the UWS and NUWS populations were found to be genetically identical (Table 2) and possess similarly homogenous Symbiodinium assemblages. That said, neutral markers, such as the microsatellites employed herein, cannot detect genetic differences driven by environmental changes (Foret et al., 2007) and so future studies seeking to uncover genetic differences underlying phenotypic plasticity should not only utilize larger sample sizes but also analyze genes that could be hypothesized to be under selection.



In contrast to incubation at a static, elevated temperature for two days, exposure to a variable temperature regime for seven days had a dramatic influence on gene expression; 50% of the genes were significantly affected by temperature in the VTE. This was mainly driven by the Symbiodinium gene expression profiles; although no Symbiodinium genes were significantly influenced by a 2-day exposure to 30°C, four of the six targets (67%); rbcL, psI, pgpase (assessed in Mayfield et al., 2012a), and hsp70 (assessed herein), were significantly influenced by temperature regime in the VTE. This was a significantly higher proportion, suggesting that exposure to a variable temperature regime over seven days had a more dramatic influence on the Symbiodinium mRNA-level response than exposure to a static, elevated one for two days. Mayfield et al. (2012a) took the elevated F_V/F_M values in these same samples to indicate that corals exposed to variable temperature may have a high capacity for photosynthesis, though an analysis of the degree of carbon fixation (sensu Furla et al., 2000) will be necessary to demonstrate whether such mRNA concentration and F_V/F_M increases actually lead to higher photosynthetic output of the resident clade C Symbiodinium populations.

The reason why exposure to a familiar, variable temperature regime had such a strong effect on Symbiodinium gene expression may be due to the need to rapidly adjust levels of protein translation in unstable environments. It has recently been found that corals may "front-load" gene mRNAs (Mayfield et al., 2011; Barshis et al., 2013), whereby expression is constitutively elevated at relatively high levels due to potentially high protein translation demands stemming from life in unstable environments. This strategy is commonly employed by intertidal invertebrates (Somero, 2010), such as limpets (Dong et al., 2008), and could explain the high levels of photosynthesis gene expression in the Symbiodinium populations within samples exposed to a variable temperature regime. mRNA front-loading theoretically allows for faster rates of protein translation that may be necessary when the standing pool of proteins becomes denatured due to abrupt temperature changes, such as the dramatic thermal shifts associated with upwelling events (Hochachka and Somero, 2002). By

maintaining high levels of mRNA expression during such periods, corals and their resident *Symbiodinium* populations would have a higher scope for translation of proteins that may have been compromised by dramatic increases or decreases in temperature. This front-loading phenomenon may also explain why 7 of the 14 target genes were expressed at significantly higher levels in corals from the UWS, Houbihu, while only 3 were expressed at higher levels in those of the NUWS, Houwan (Figure 6), a site characterized by a considerably more stable temperature profile (Mayfield et al., 2012a).

On the other hand, two of the three stress genes targeted herein and in a prior work (Mayfield et al., 2011), Symbiodinium and host coral hsp70, were instead expressed at higher levels in corals from the NUWS, suggesting that corals of the UWS do not necessarily front-load stress gene expression to a greater extent than conspecifics from the NUWS. As such, the front-loading mRNA loading phenomenon may be utilized for certain cellular response pathways, such as photosynthesis, but not all. A more thorough understanding of the behavior and function of transcription factors involved in the thermal acclimation response, such as heat shock factors (HSFs, Akerfelt et al., 2010), will ultimately aid in the development of an understanding of why the mRNA-level response differed between corals exposed to familiar or unfamiliar temperature regimes, as well as between sites. Such an analysis may also help to elucidate whether thermal history (e.g., prior exposure to upwelling) or the temperature regime itself is more important in driving the sub-cellular response of S. hystrix to temperature changes.

It is currently unclear whether such differences in gene expression between sites and temperature regimes documented herein and in previous works (e.g., Barshis et al., 2013) actually lead to an enhanced capacity for protein translation, as expression of the respective proteins was not measured in previous coral-based studies, nor was their activity. As such, it is hoped that both gene and protein expression, as well as activity of the latter, will be simultaneously measured in coral samples of future temperature manipulation studies such that the understanding of the molecular means by which corals respond to differential temperature regimes can be better



developed. A greater knowledge of the sub-cellular basis of coral phenotypic plasticity, as well as their acclimatization to altered environments, will allow for the establishment of hypotheses as to their response to the increases in temperature and pCO_2 that are predicted to characterize the oceans in the coming decades as a result of GCC (Ogawa et al., 2013).

3 Materials and Methods

3.1 Experiments

3.1.1 ETE

The details of both the elevated (i.e., ETE; Mayfield et al., 2011) and variable temperature (i.e., VTE; Mayfield et al., 2012a) experiments are described in the respective manuscripts and summarized in Table 1. Briefly, specimens were collected only from the UWS, Houbihu, in the former. This coral reef (Figure 1) is characterized by dramatic temperature fluctuations as a consequence of spring-tide upwelling events (Mayfield et al., 2012a); indeed, changes of up to 9° C can occur over the course of a single day in the summer months. Triplicate tanks containing three S. hystrix colonies collected from the UWS were incubated at either the control (27 °C) or elevated $(30^{\circ}C)$ temperature for 48 h, and ~50-mg branches (~2 mm radius x \sim 7 mm length = \sim 100 mm² surface area) were taken from each of the 18 colonies after 0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h (n=90 samples). An effort was made to avoid sampling from the same section of the colony multiple times in order to ensure that sampling stress did not bias results. Data from the time 0 samples are not presented herein.

3.1.2 VTE

In the VTE, coral nubbins fragmented from colonies originally from the UWS (Houbihu) and the NUWS (Houwan) were exposed to either a stable temperature treatment (26°C, n=3 tanks for corals of each site of origin) or one that fluctuated from 23 to 29°C over a 5-h period (*n*=3 tanks for corals of each site of origin). Houwan, which is a small bay (Figure 1) located approximately 15 km north of Houbihu on the western side of the Hengchun Peninsula, does not experience upwelling events, and temperature rarely fluctuates by more than 1° C on any given day of the year (Mayfield et al., 2012a). In this study, two nubbins from each of the 12 tanks were sampled at the beginning of the experiment, as well as after seven days of treatment exposure; however, only the latter 24 samples were analyzed for molecular response variables.

3.2 RNA, DNA, and protein extractions

RNA, DNA, and protein were extracted in sequential fashion from each of the 90 and 24 samples of the ETE and VTE, respectively. Briefly, RNA was reverse transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) in both studies, and the cDNAs were used as template in real-time PCRs for target gene analysis. As the reverse transcription input RNA amounts and, with one exception, real-time PCR primer concentrations were identical for both studies, gene expression results could be directly compared for all but one gene (discussed in Table 4). The DNAs were used for normalization of gene expression data (sensu Mayfield et al., 2009), Symbiodinium genotyping (sensu Correa et al., 2009), and host genotyping (sensu Underwood et al., 2006; VTE samples only); all of these procedures are described in detail below. The proteins were quantified as described previously (Mayfield et al., 2011) and used to calculate a protein/DNA ratio, which serves as a proxy for total protein expression.

3.2.1 ETE: extractions

Prior to cDNA synthesis (200 ng RNA/reaction), RNAs from the three pseudo-replicated samples from each of the six tanks were mixed, re-extracted with the GeneMark® Plant RNA Miniprep purification kit (Hopegen Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer's recommendations (including DNase treatment), and the 24 samples (n=3 for each treatment at each sampling time) from times 6, 12, 24, and 48 h were re-quantified and assessed for quality as in Mayfield et al. (2012b). In a similar manner, the triplicate DNAs from each tank and time were pooled and re-purified with the AxyPrep[™] PCR cleanup kit (Axygen; manufacturer's recommendations) prior to their use in real-time PCRs (20 ng/reaction), and the 24 DNAs from corals sampled after 6, 12, 24, and 48 h (n=3 for each treatment-sampling time) were analyzed.

3.2.2 VTE: extractions

RNA and DNA were re-extracted from coral tissues that had been frozen in 500 μ l TRI-Reagent® (Life Technologies) at -80 °C as described in Mayfield et al. (2012a), and the duplicate, pseudo-replicated RNAs and DNAs within each tank were left un-pooled prior to cDNA synthesis (described below) and real-time PCRs, respectively.



http://ijms.biopublisher.ca

Table 4. PCR primers, mastermix composition, and thermocycling conditions. When two values are given under the primer concentration ("nM primer"), annealing temperature, or PCR cycles ("Cycle #") columns, they correspond to values used in the *Seriatopora hystrix* elevated (i.e., ETE) and variable (i.e., VTE) temperature experiments, respectively. When only one value appears, the same conditions were used for samples of both experiments. Full genes names have been written out in the text and Tables A1-3.

Gene	Length (bp) ^a	Sequence ('5-3')	nM primer	Annealing temp. (°C)	Cycle #	Cellular process	NCBI accession	Reference
Solaris spike	NA	TGCAAAGCCAATTCCCGAAG	125	63	36	exogenous spike	NA	Putnam et al., 2013
		CCATTGTAGTGAACAGTAGGAC			34			
SYMBIODINIUM	TARGET	GENES						
rbcL	126	CAGTGAACGTGGAGGACATGT	200 ^b	60.5	32	photosynthesis	AAG37859	Mayfield et al., 2012a
		AGTAGCACGCCTCACCGAAA						
psI	136	GTGGAGTTGACATTGACTTGGA	500 ^b	59	39	photosynthesis	HM156699	Mayfield et al., 2012a
		TGCTGCTTGGTGGTCTTGTA						
pgpase	100	TGACAAACAATTCCACCAAGAG	250 ^b	60	32	photosynthesis	EU924267	Crawley et al., 2010
		GCTGCAAAGGATGATGAGAAG						
nrt2	97	CCACCCATTTCAGGACCTAT	200	61.5	33	metabolism	HM147134	Mayfield et al., 2013a
		CCAGGGACCTAGCAAACAA		62	38			
hsp70	86	CTGTCCATGGGCCTGGAGACT	500 °	62.5	40	stress response	EU476880	Mayfield et al., 2009
		GTGAACGTCTGTGCCTTCTTGGTT						
apx1	107	GCCAAGTTCAAGGAGCATGTA	150 ^b	61	40	stress response	HM156698	Mayfield et al., 2012a
		AGCTGACCACATCCCAACT						
SERIATOPORA H	YSTRIX T	ARGET GENES						
actb	100	GACTCGTATGTCGGTGATGAAGCT	50	60	29	cytoskeleton	HM147127	Mayfield et al., 2010
		TTTCCATGTCATCCCAGTTGGT						
trp1	108	GCTGCAAAGATCGCTAACCTG	50	61.5	33	cytoskeleton	HM147128	Mayfield et al., 2010
		TCCAACCAAGGTGACCTCTTC						
tuba	110	ACATCTGTCGGCGCAACCT	50	61.5	33	cytoskeleton	HM147129	Mayfield et al., 2010
		GCACCATCAAAGCGCAGTGA						
ezrin	162	CAGCGGAAAATGCTTCAGC	400	59	35	cytoskeleton	HM147133	present study
		TTCATCAACCCTCTGCTTAGTG						
cplap2	174	GCAAACGGACGGAATCAAAGA	100	60	35	osmoregulation	HM156700	present study
		GGCATCTTCAAACACGGAGA						
oatp	130	ACGGTTGGCTGTTTGACACT	250	60	35	osmoregulation	HM147131	present study
		AACACATGGCAAGTTCCGG	200					
trcc	114	TGGTCGGTTTGGCTGTTG	250	60	30	osmoregulation	HM147132	present study
		TCCATTGTGGGAGAGATTCTTC						
hsp70	62	AATCCAGGAGTTGCTCAGAAATT	500 ^c	59	28	stress response	AB201749	Mayfield et al., 2011
		TCTGACACAGCTTCACTCTTA						

Note: ^a "bp" = base pairs. ^b ETE only; VTE assays were conducted by Mayfield et al. (2012a). ^c VTE only; ETE assays were conducted by Mayfield et al. (2011).



3.3 Target gene selection

In the Symbiodinium compartment, three cellular processes previously suggested to be thermo-sensitive (Mayfield et al., 2013b, d) were targeted; photosynthesis, metabolism, and the stress response. Regarding the former, three photosynthesis-targeted genes; rbcL, psI (subunit III), and pgpase were targeted with real-time PCR (Table 4), as Symbiodinium have repeatedly been shown to be photoinhibited when exposed to foreign temperature (Warner et al., 1996; 1999). Such regimes photoinhibition has been found to manifest at the mRNA level (Crawley et al., 2010). Furthermore, protein expression of RBCL, which is the rate-limiting enzyme of the Calvin Cycle, was previously found to decrease in Symbiodinium within coral larvae (Putnam et al., 2013), as well as diatom symbionts within foraminiferers (Doo et al., 2012), exposed to elevated temperatures.

One metabolism-targeted gene, nrt2, was additionally assayed in samples of both studies (Table 4). Briefly, expression of this gene, whose respective protein may be involved in the translocation of nitrate within the holobiont, was previously hypothesized to be thermo-sensitive (Mayfield et al., 2013a) given that the nutrient dialogue between Symbiodinium and the host coral could be impaired during periods of elevated temperature (Mayfield and Gates, 2007). Finally, expression of two stress-targeted genes, hsp70 and apx1 (Table 4), was measured in the Symbiodinium compartment. The latter is involved in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which have been documented to be produced in Symbiodinium within thermally-challenged corals (Lesser 1996; 1997; 2006). The expression of all six Symbiodinium target genes was hypothesized to be similar between temperature treatments and over time in the ETE, yet vary between temperature treatments in the VTE.

Eight genes across three cellular processes- the cytoskeleton (n=4), osmoregulation (n=3), and the stress response (n=1)- were targeted in the coral host. Regarding the former two processes, cell volume control and the cytoskeleton have been hypothesized to be significantly compromised by changes in the abiotic environment of a coral (Mayfield and Gates,

2007). The reason underlying this hypothesis is because the host coral must theoretically spend a considerable amount of energy to osmoregulate since Symbiodinium occupy the majority of the volume of its gastrodermal cells (Chen et al., 2012). As Symbiodinium are photoinhibited at stress-inducing conditions (Jones et al., 1998), the consequent decrease in their translocation of compatible solutes, such as glycerol, into the host cytoplasm could cause a drop in osmotic pressure and a consequent collapse of the cytoskeleton. In fact, a temporary turnover of the cytoskeleton may occur at night in the gastroderm of reef-building corals (Mayfield et al., 2010), possibly due to this photosynthetic hysteresis (Levy et al., 2004). Specifically, expression of actb, the gene encoding the actin-binding protein tropomyosin, *trp1*, and tuba was found to decrease at night in S. hystrix, and their expression has been previously shown to also be responsive to temperature changes in other coral species (DeSalvo et al., 2008). Herein, the expression of these cytoskeleton-targeted genes was hypothesized to be similar across temperature treatments of the ETE, yet vary between the stable and variable temperature treatments of the VTE.

Expression of a fourth cytoskeleton gene, ezrin, whose respective protein is involved in anchoring the cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane (Rasmussen et al., 2008), and an osmoregulation-targeted gene, cplap2, which can catabolize lipids in order to generate the osmolyte glycerol upon decreases in osmotic pressure (Ollivier et al., 2006), was also measured; as with the target genes listed above, they were hypothesized to be expressed at similar levels over time and between treatments in the ETE, yet display temperature treatment differences in the VTE. The final two host coral osmoregulation genes, trcc and *oatp*, which encode proteins involved in salt and anion balance, respectively, were also predicted to be stably expressed in the former study and demonstrate temperature treatment differences in the latter. Finally, it was also hypothesized that corals from Houbihu, the UWS, would express higher levels of each of the 14 candidate genes relative to con-specifics from Houwan, the NUWS, for reasons discussed in the Introduction.

3.4 ETE: target gene expression

Twelve genes were targeted in the ETE (Table 4);



Symbiodinium rbcL, psI (subunit III), pgpase, nrt2, and apx1, and host coral actb, trp1, tuba, ezrin, cplap2, *oatp*, and *trcc*. For the latter four genes, primers were designed with CLC Main Workbench (ver. 6.8) from published cDNA sequences (Mayfield et al., 2011). Two additional genes, Symbiodinium and host coral hsp70 were measured in Mayfield et al. (2011), though the data were re-analyzed for the global site of origin comparison described below. The 24 RNAs were diluted to 20 ng μ l⁻¹, and 10 μ l (200 ng) were converted to cDNA with 1x Solaris RNA spike and the High CapacityTM cDNA synthesis kit (Life Technologies) according to the respective manufacturer's recommendations, precipitated with 0.1 vol sodium acetate (3 M, pH 5.2) and 1 vol isopropanol, and stored at -20 °C. At a later date, cDNAs were centrifuged at 12,000 xg for 10 min at 4° C, washed once with 75% ethanol, centrifuged at 8,000 xg for 5 min, dried on the benchtop after decanting the ethanol, and dissolved in 80 µl diethylpyrocarbonate-treated, double-distilled water. Real-time PCRs (20 µl) were conducted in triplicate on a StepOnePlus[™] real-time PCR machine (Life Technologies) with 1x (10 µl) EZ-TIME[™] SYBR® Green mastermix with ROX[™] passive reference dye (Yeastern Biotech. Co., Ltd.), the primer concentrations found in Table 4, and 2 μ l of the 4-fold-diluted cDNA. Serial dilutions of a randomly selected cDNA sample were run on each 96-well plate to estimate the efficiency of each assay (sensu Bower et al., 2007), all of which were shown to be between 95-105%. Melt curves were conducted after all reactions in order to verify the absence of non-specific binding.

3.5 VTE: target gene expression

The expression of *Symbiodinium hsp70* and *nrt2*, as well as host coral *actb*, *trp1*, *tuba*, *ezrin*, *cplap2*, *oatp*, *trcc*, and *hsp70* was assessed in the 24 samples of the VTE sampled after seven days. Four additional genes; *rbcL*, *psI* (subunit III), *pgpase*, and *apx1*, were measured in Mayfield et al. (2012a), though the data were re-analyzed for the global site of origin comparison described below. The SYBR Green mastermix, reaction volumes, and real-time PCR instrument described above were used. However, in certain cases (noted in Table 4), slightly different

primer concentrations and thermocycling conditions were used between samples of the two experiments.

3.6 Gene expression normalization

For both experiments, the expression of each gene was normalized to Solaris spike recovery as described by Putnam et al. (2013). Then, host and Symbiodinium spike-normalized gene expression values were divided by the host and Symbiodinium GCPs, respectively; as corals consist of two eukaryotes, both reverse transcription efficiency and biological composition controls are necessary to generate accurate macromolecular expression data (Mayfield et al., 2013b, d). This circumvents the need for housekeeping genes, which cannot be validated with confidence in endosymbiotic organisms (Mayfield et al., 2009). Because DNA samples were pooled and re-purified in the ETE and re-extracted in the VTE, both host and Symbiodinium GCPs were recalculated with real-time PCR as described by Mayfield et al. (2012a).

3.7 Symbiodinium genotyping

Both previously published (Mayfield et al., 2012a) and unpublished (Ruth D. Gates, personal communication) works have found S. hystrix from Southern Taiwan to predominantly possess Symbiodinium of clade C. However, as the former study used restriction digests of PCR-amplified 18s genes and the latter used PCR of the its2 marker followed by cloning and sequencing, it is possible that background Symbiodinium haplotypes may have been overlooked. Real-time PCR, which represents a more sensitive means of detecting cryptic Symbiodinium diversity (Mieog et al., 2007), was therefore utilized with all DNAs from both experiments (n=48). Real-time PCRs were conducted for the clade A, C, and D assays of Correa et al. (2009), as these are thought to be the dominant types found in corals of Southern Taiwan (Chen et al., 2005). Reactions (20 µl) were conducted with the mastermix described above for target gene analysis with the primers and primer concentrations of Correa et al. (2009). However, 20 ng of DNA were used in each reaction. Due to the intragenomically variable nature of the its2 gene (Pochon et al., 2012), these assays cannot be used to calculate exact ratios of the dominant Symbiodinium types (e.g., 50% clade A vs. 50% clade C) and are only suited for presence/absence tests. "Presence" was defined a



priori as samples in which threshold cycle (C_t) values <30 were calculated.

3.8 VTE: host coral genotyping

It was hypothesized that the dramatic oceanographic differences between Houbihu (UWS) and Houwan (NUWS; Mayfield et al., 2012a), which are only ~15 km apart (Figure 1), could have driven a degree of genetic divergence between coral populations of the two sites of origin. To test this, one of the two pseudo-replicated DNAs from each of the 12 tanks of the VTE (n=6 for each site) was genotyped. Seven species-specific microsatellite loci (Sh4-001, Sh2-002, Sh3-004, Sh2-006, Sh2.15, Sh4.24, Sh4.28; Tables 2 and A4) for S. hystrix developed in prior works (Maier et al., 2001, 2005; 2009; Underwood et al., 2006) were utilized to determine the extent of the genetic divergence, if any, between these two populations. Each microsatellite fragment was amplified by PCR (15 µl) in all 12 DNA samples, and reaction mixes contained 10-40 ng DNA, 1x PCR buffer (MDbio), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 nM each primer (Table A4), and 0.1 U Taq polymerase (MDbio). Thermocycling was conducted at 95° C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 $^{\circ}$ C for 40 s, 40 s at the annealing temperatures listed in Table A4, and 72°C for 40 s. A final extension at 72°C for 1.5 min was then conducted. An Applied Biosystems Genetic Analyzer (3130, Life Technologies) was used to separate fragments, and unique alleles were determined with Peak Scanner[™] (ver. 1.0, Life Technologies).

Arlequin (ver. 3.1, Schneider et al., 2000) was used to estimate the N_A , the number of private alleles (N_{PA}), and the H_o and H_e , as well as conduct Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium tests. F_{IS} values were estimated using Fstat ver. 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 2001). Micro-Checker ver. 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to detect possible null alleles. Genetic differentiation between populations was estimated by calculating F_{ST} values with Arlequin 3.1. The significance of F_{ST} values for population comparisons was based on 1,000 permutations.

3.9 Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conduced with JMP[™] (ver. 5, SAS Institute). Shapiro-Wilk W and Levene's

tests were used to determine whether datasets were normally distributed and characterized by homogeneous variance, respectively. In certain cases, log, square root, or rank transformations were required to generate data suitable for parametric analyses. To assess differences in both Symbiodinium and host gene expression between treatments and over time in the ETE, a repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted with tank nested within treatment after confirming the sphericity of the data with Mauchley's sphericity tests (p>0.05). To assess the effects of site of origin, temperature treatment, and their interaction on both host and Symbiodinium gene expression in samples of the VTE, a 2-way ANOVA was conducted with tank nested within site x temperature. In both studies, the tank term was dropped when found to be non-significant (p>0.05), and Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) tests were used to verify individual mean differences when significant differences were detected in the model (p < 0.05). Correlation analyses were conducted in order to determine the degree of correlation between expression levels of Symbiodinium and host coral hsp70 in samples of the VTE. Slopes were considered significant if p<0.01.

In order to further explore the effect of site of origin on gene expression, the average expression of each gene across the 24 samples from the ETE (all of which were from the UWS) and the 12 samples of the VTE originating from the UWS was compared against the average expression of the 12 samples from NUWS, all of which were from the VTE. This comparison is referred to as the "global site of origin" comparison to distinguish it from the site of origin comparisons within the VTE described above. For the majority of the global site of origin comparisons, Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were utilized, as the variances tended to differ significantly (Levene's test, p<0.01) due to the use of unequal sample sizes (n=36 and 12 for the UWS and NUWS, respectively).

To compare the proportion of the 14 genes in which a significant difference was detected between experiments (ETE vs. VTE) and site of origin (UWS vs. NUWS), X^2 proportion tests were conducted (Quinn and Keough, 2002). Such tests were performed in order to know whether variable temperature



exposure for seven days had a more pronounced effect on gene expression than exposure to a stable, elevated temperature for two days, as well as whether corals of the UWS were more responsive at the mRNA level than those of the NUWS, respectively. Unless otherwise noted, all error terms presented represent standard error of the mean (SEM).

Authors' contributions

ABM conducted the experiments, performed the nucleic acid extractions, executed the real-time PCR assays, and wrote the manuscript. YHC and CFD conducted the host coral genotyping-based laboratory analyses and analyzed the genotyping data. CSC contributed resources and materials that were instrumental to the study's success. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Gratitude is given to Drs. Tung-Yung Fan and Hollie Putnam, as well as Ms. Pei-Hsun Chan, for assistance with both coral collection and conducting of the VTE. We would also like to thank Dr. Yi-Yuong Hsiao for the sharing of laboratory space within which the nucleic acid and protein extractions were conducted. Drs. Glen Watson and Joseph Neigel of the Department of Biology of the University of Louisiana, Lafayette are also graciously thanked for their sharing of laboratory space in which the majority of the real-time PCR-based analyses were performed. This work was funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) of the United States of America via an international postdoctoral research fellowship to ABM (NSF-OISE: 0852960). National Science Council (Taiwan) grants to CFD (NSC 101-2611-M-002-019) funded the genotyping work. Funds from the Khaled bin Sultan Living Oceans Foundation and Taiwan's National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium were also of critical importance to the success of this work.

References

- Akerfelt M., Morimoto R.I., and Sistonen, L., 2010, Heat Shock Factors: Integrators of Cell Stress, Development and Lifespan, Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 11: 545-555 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2938</u>
- Ayre D.J., and Hughes T.P., 2000, Genotypic Diversity and Gene Flow in Brooding and Spawning Corals Along the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, Evolution, 54:(5): 1590-1605 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2000.tb00704.x</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2000)054[1590:GDAGFI]2.0.CO;2</u>
- Barshis D.J., Ladner J.T., Oliver T.A., Seneca F.O., Traylor-Knowles N., and Palumbi S.R., 2013, Genomic Basis for Coral Resilience to Climate Change, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110: 1387-1392 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210224110
- Bongaerts P., Riginos C., Hay K.B., van Oppen M.J.H., Hoegh-Guldberg O., and Dove S., 2011, Adaptive Divergence in a Scleractinian Coral: Physiological Adaptation of *Seriatopora hystrix* to Shallow and Deep Reef Habitats, BMC Evolutionary Biology, 11: 303 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-303

- Bower N.I, Moser R.J., Hill J.R., and Lehnert S.A., 2007, Universal Reference Method for Real-Time PCR Gene Expression Analysis of Preimplantation Embryos, Biotechniques, 42: 199-206 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2144/000112314</u>
- Chen C.A., Yang Y.W., Wei N.V., Tsai W.S., and Fang L.S., 2005, Symbiont Diversity in Scleractinian Corals from Tropical Reefs and Subtropical Non-Reef Communities in Taiwan, Coral Reefs, 24: 11-22 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-004-0389-7</u>
- Chen C.T.A., Hsing L.Y., Liu C.L., Wang S.L., 2004, Degree of Nutrient Consumption of Upwelled Water in the Taiwan Strait Based on Dissolved Organic Phosphorus or Nitrogen, Marine Chemistry, 87: 73-86 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.01.006
- Chen W.N.U., Kang H.J., Weis V.M., Mayfield A.B., Fang L.S., and Chen C.S., 2012, Diel Rhythmicity of Lipid Body Formation in a Coral-Symbiodinium Endosymbiosis, Coral Reefs, 31: 521-534 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0868-6</u>
- Coles S.L., and Brown B.E., 2003, Coral Bleaching- Capacity for Acclimatization and Adaptation, Advances in Marine Biology, 46: 183-223 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(03)46004-5
- Correa A.M.S., McDonald M.D., and Baker A.C., 2009, Development of Clade-Specific Symbiodinium Primers for Quantitative PCR (qPCR) and Their Application to Detecting Clade D Symbionts in Caribbean Corals, Marine Biology, 156: 2403-2411 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-009-1263-5
- Crawley A., Kline D.A., Dunn S., Anthony K., and Dove S., 2010, The Effect of Ocean Acidification on Symbiont Photorespiration and Productivity in *Acropora formosa*, Global Change Biology, 16: 851-863 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01943.x
- DeSalvo M.K., Voolstra C.R., Sunagawa S., Schwartz J.A., Stillman J.H., Coffroth M.A., Szmant A.M., and Medina M., 2008, Differential Gene Expression During Thermal Stress and Bleaching in the Caribbean coral *Montastraea faveolata*, Molecular Ecology, 17: 3952-3971 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03879.x
- Dong Y., Miller L.P., Sanders J.G., and Somero G.N., 2008, Heat-Shock Protein 70 (Hsp70) Expression in Four Limpets of the Genus Lottia: Interspecific Variation in Constitutive and Inducible Synthesis Correlates with *in situ* Exposure to Heat Stress, Biological Bulletin, 215: 173-181 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/25470698</u>
- Doo S.S., Mayfield A.B., Chen H.K., Byrne M., Fan T.Y., 2012, Reduced Expression of the Rate-Limiting Carbon Fixation Enzyme RuBisCO in the Benthic Foraminifer *Baculogypsina sphaerulata* in Response to Heat Shock, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 430: 63-67

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.06.025

- Fan T.Y., Lin K.H., Kuo F.W., Soong K., Liu L.L., and Fang L.S., 2006, Diel Patterns of Larval Release by Five Brooding Scleractinian Corals, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 321: 133-142 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps321133</u>
- Feder M., 1996, Ecological and evolutionary physiology of stress proteins and the stress response: the *Drosophila melanogaster* model, In: Johnston I.A., Bennett A.F. (eds.), Animals and Temperature: Phenotypic and Evolutionary Adaptation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp.79-102

http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511721854.005

- Foret S., Kassahn K.S., Grasso L.C., Hayward D.C., Iguchi A., Ball E.E., and Miller D.J., 2007, Genomic and Microarray Approaches to Coral Reef Conservation Biology, Coral Reefs, 26(3): 475-486 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0206-1</u>
- Furla P, Galgani I., Durand I., and Allemand D., 2000, Sources and Mechanisms of Inorganic Carbon Transport for Coral Calcification and Photosynthesis, The Journal of Experimental Biology, 203: 3445-3457
- Gates R.D., and Edmunds P.J., 1999, The Physiological Mechanisms of Acclimatization in Tropical Reef Corals, Integrative and Comparative Biology, 39: 30-43

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icb/39.1.30

Goudet J., 2001, FSTAT: A Program to Estimate and Test Gene Diversities and Fixation Indices (ver. 2.9.3). Available from http://www2. unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm



Gracey A.Y., Chaney M.L., Boomhower J.P., Tyburczy W.R., Connor K., and Somero G.N., 2008, Rhythms of Gene Expression in a Fluctuating Intertidal Environment, Current Biology, 18: 1501-1507 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.08.049</u>

- Hazel J.R., and Prosser C.L., 1974, Molecular Mechanisms of Temperature Compensation in Poikilotherms, Physiology Reviews, 54: 620-677
- Hochachka P.W., and Somero G.N., 2002, Biochemical Adaptation, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK
- Hoegh-Guldberg O., and Smith G.J., 1989, The Effect of Sudden Changes in Temperature, Light and Salinity on the Population Density and Export of Zooxanthellae from the Reef Corals *Stylophora pistillata* Esper and *Seriatopora hystrix* Dana, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 129: 279-303

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(89)90109-3

- Jan S., and Chen C.T.A., 2008, Potential Biogeochemical Effects from Vigorous Internal Tides Generated in Luzon Strait: a Case Study at the Southernmost Coast of Taiwan, Journal of Geophysical Research, 114: 1-14
- Jones R.J., Hoegh-Guldberg O., Larkum A.W.D., and Schreiber U., 1998, Temperature-Induced Bleaching of Corals Begins with Impairment of the CO₂ Fixation Metabolism in Zooxanthellae, Plant, Cell and Environment, 21: 1219-1230

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00345.x

- Lesser M.P., 1996, Elevated Temperatures and Ultraviolet Radiation Cause Oxidative Stress and Inhibit Photosynthesis in Symbiotic Dinoflagellates, Limnology and Oceanography, 41: 271-283 http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1996.41.2.0271
- Lesser M.P., 1997, Oxidative Stress Causes Coral Bleaching During Exposure to Elevated Temperatures, Coral Reefs, 16: 187-192 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003380050073
- Lesser M.P., 2006, Oxidative Stress in Marine Environments: Biochemistry and Physiological Ecology, Annual Review of Physiology, 68: 253-278 http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040104.110001
- Levy O., Achituv Y., Schneider K., Dubinsky Z., and Gorbunov M., 2004, Diurnal Hysteresis in Coral Photosynthesis, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 268: 105-117

http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps268105

- Loh W.K.W., Loi T., Carter D., and Hoegh-Guldberg O., 2001, Genetic Variability of the Symbiotic Dinoflagellates from the Wide Ranging Coral Species Seriatopora hystrix and Acropora longicyathus in the Indo-West Pacific, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 222: 97-107 http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps222097
- Loya Y., Sakai K., Yamazato K., Nakano Y., Sambali H., and van Woesik R., 2001, Coral Bleaching: the Winners and the Losers, Ecology Letters, 4: 122-131

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00203.x

 Maier E., Tollrian R., and Nürnberger B., 2001, Development of Species-Specific Markers in an Organism with Endosymbionts: Microsatellites in the Scleractinian Coral *Seriatopora hystrix*, Molecular Ecology Notes, 1: 157-159
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/f.1471.9278.2001.00058.p.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8278.2001.00058.x

- Maier E., Tollrian R., and Nürnberger B., 2009 Fine-Scale Analysis of Genetic Structure in the Brooding Coral Seriatopora hystrix from the Red Sea, Coral Reefs, 28: 751-756 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0497-5</u>
- Maier E., Tollrian R., Rinkevich B., and Nürnberger B., 2005, Isolation by Distance in the Scleractinian Coral *Seriatopora hystrix* from the Red Sea, Marine Biology, 147: 1109-1120 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0013-6
- Mayfield A.B., Chan P.H., Putnam H.P., Chen C.S., Fan T.Y., 2012a, The Effects of a Variable Temperature Regime on the Physiology of the Reef-Building Coral Seriatopora hystrix: Results from a Laboratory-Based Reciprocal Transplant, The Journal of Experimental Biology, 215: 4183-4195 http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.071688

Mayfield A.B., Chen M., Meng P.J., Lin H.J., Chen C.S., and Liu P.J., 2013a, The Physiological Response of the Reef Coral *Pocillopora damicornis* to Elevated Temperature: Results from Coral Reef Mesocosm Experiments in Southern Taiwan, Marine Environmental Research, 86: 1-11

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.01.004

Mayfield A.B., Fan T.Y., and Chen C.S., 2013b, Physiological Acclimation to Elevated Temperature in a Reef-Building Coral from an Upwelling Environment, Coral Reefs, 32: 909-921 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/c00228.012.1067.4

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1067-4

- Mayfield A.B., Fan T.Y., and Chen C.S., 2013c, The Physiological Impact of ex situ Transplantation on the Taiwanese Reef-Building Coral Seriatopora hystrix, Journal of Marine Biology, Article ID 569369 http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/569361
- Mayfield A.B., Fan T.Y., and Chen C.S., 2013d, Real-Time PCR-Based Gene Expression Analysis in the Model Reef-Building Coral *Pocillopora damicornis*: Insight from a Salinity Stress Study, Platax, 10: 1-29
- Mayfield A.B., and Gates R.D., 2007, Osmoregulation in Anthozoan-Dinoflagellate Symbiosis, Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A-Molecular and Integrative Physiology, 147: 1-10 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.12.042
- Mayfield A.B., Hirst M.B., and Gates R.D., 2009, Gene Expression Normalization in a Dual-Compartment System: A Quantitative Real-Time PCR Protocol for Symbiotic Anthozoans, Molecular Ecology Resources, 9: 462-470

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02349.x

- Mayfield A.B., Hsiao Y.Y., Fan T.Y., and Chen C.S., 2012b, Temporal Variation in RNA/DNA and Protein/DNA Ratios in Four Anthozoan-Dinoflagellate Endosymbioses of the Indo-Pacific: Implications for Molecular Diagnostics, Platax, 16: 29-52
- Mayfield A.B., Hsiao Y.Y., Fan T.Y., Chen C.S., and Gates R.D., 2010, Evaluating the Temporal Stability of Stress-Activated Protein Kinase and Cytoskeleton Gene Expression in the Pacific Corals *Pocillopora damicornis* and *Seriatopora hystrix*, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 395: 215-222

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.09.007

- Mayfield A.B., Wang L.H., Tang P.C., Hsiao Y.Y., Fan T.Y., Tsai C.L., and Chen C.S., 2011, Assessing the Impacts of Experimentally Elevated Temperature on the Biological Composition and Molecular Chaperone Gene Expression of a Reef Coral, PLoS ONE, e26529 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026529</u>
- Meng P.J., Lee H.J., Wang J.T., Chen C.C., Lin H.J., Tew K.S., and Hsieh W.J., 2008, A Long-Term Survey on Anthropogenic Impacts to the Water Quality of Coral Reefs, Southern Taiwan, Environmental Pollution, 156: 67-75

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.12.039

- Mieog J.C., van Oppen M.J.H., Cantin N.E., Stam W.T., and Olsen J.L., 2007, Real-Time PCR Reveals a High Incidence of Symbiodinium Clade D at Low Levels in Four Scleractinian Corals across the Great Barrier Reef: Implications for Symbiont Shuffling, Coral Reefs, 26: 449-457 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0244-8
- Nunes F., Norris R.D., and Knowlton N., 2009, Implications of Isolation and Low Genetic Diversity in Peripheral Populations of an Amphi -Atlantic Coral, Molecular Ecology, 18(20): 4283-4297 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04347.x



- Ogawa D., Bobeszko T., Ainsworth T., and Leggat W., 2013, The Combined Effects of Temperature and CO2 Lead to Altered Gene Expression in Acropora aspera, Coral Reefs, 32: 895-907 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1046-9
- Oliver T.A., and Palumbi S.R., 2011, Do Fluctuating Temperature Environments Elevate Coral Thermal Tolerance? Coral Reefs, 30: 429-440 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0721-y
- Ollivier H., Pichavant K., Puill-Stephan E., Roy S., Calves P., Nonnotte L., and Nonnotte G., 2006, Volume Regulation Following Hyposmotic Shock in Isolated Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) Hepatocytes, Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 176: 393-403 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00360-005-0061-8
- Pochon X., Putnam H.M., Burki F., and Gates R.D., 2012, Identifying and Characterizing Alternative Molecular Markers for the Symbiotic and Free-Living Dinoflagellate Genus Symbiodinium, PLoS ONE, e29816 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029816
- Putnam H.M., Edmunds P.J., and Fan T.Y., 2010, Effect of a Fluctuating Thermal Regime on Adult and Larval Reef Corals, Invertebrate Biology, 129: 199-209

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7410.2010.00199.x

Putnam H.P., Mayfield A.B., Fan T.Y., Chen C.S., and Gates R.D., 2013, The Physiological and Molecular Responses of Larvae from the Reef-Building Coral Pocillopora damicornis Exposed to Near-Future Increases in Temperature and pCO₂, Marine Biology, 160: 2157-2173

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2129-9

- Quinn G.P., and Keough M.J., 2002, Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511806384
- Rasmussen M., Alexander R.T., Darborg B.V., Mobjerg N., Hoffmann E.K., Kapus A., and Pedersen S.F., 2008, Osmotic Cell Shrinkage Activates Ezrin/Radixin/Moesin (ERM) Proteins: Activation Mechanisms and Physiological Implications, American Journal of Physiology- Cell Physiology, 294C: 197-212 http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00268.2007

Sanford E., and Kelly M.W., 2011, Local Adaptation in Marine Invertebrates, Annual Review of Marine Science, 3: 509-535 http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142756

Schneider S., Roessli D., and Excoffier L., 2000, Arlequin: A Software for Population Genetic Data, Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Switzerland

- Somero G.N., 2010, The Physiology of Climate Change: How Potentials for Acclimatization and Genetic Adaptation Will Determine "Winners" and "Losers," The Journal of Experimental Biology, 213: 912-920 http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037473
- Tung Y.H., Dai C.F., and Fan T.Y., 2006, Comparison of Temperature Effect on Growth and Survival of Reef Corals, Stylophora pistillata and Seriatopora hystrix: a Mesocosm Study, Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium Okinawa Japan, pp. 737-745
- Underwood J.N., Souter P.B., Ballment E.R., Lutz A.H., and van Oppen M.J.H., 2006, Development of 10 Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers from Herbicide-Bleached Tissues of the Brooding Pocilloporid Coral Seriatopora hystrix, Molecular Ecology Notes, 6: 176-178 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01183.x
- van Oosterhout C., Hutchinson W., Wills D., and Shipley P., 2004, MICRO-CHECKER: Software for Identifying and Correcting Genotyping Errors in Microsatellite Data, Molecular Ecology Resources, 4: 535-538
- van Oppen M.J.H., and Gates R.D., 2006, Conservation Genetics and the Resilience of Reef-Building Corals, Molecular Ecology, 15: 3863-3883 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03026.x
- Veron J.E.N., 2000, Corals of the World, vols. 1-3, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville. Australia
- Warner M.E., Fitt W.K., and Schmidt G.W., 1996, The Effects of Elevated Temperature on the Photosynthetic Efficiency of Zooxanthellae in hospite from Four Different Species of Reef Coral: a Novel Approach, Plant, Cell and Environment, 19: 291-299 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1996.tb00251.x
- Warner M.E., Fitt W.K., and Schmidt G.W., 1999, Damage to Photosystem II in Symbiotic Dinoflagellates: a Determinant of Coral Bleaching, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 96: 8007-8012 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.14.8007



http://ijms.biopublisher.ca

Table A1. *Symbiodinium* exogenous RNA spike recovery, genome copy proportion (GCP), and gene expression results from the *Seriatopora hystrix* elevated temperature experiment (ETE). Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted with JMP using a MANOVA model as described in the text. The results from the *S. hystrix* variable temperature experiment (VTE) are presented in the right-most column. "UWS" = upwelling site (Houbihu). "NUWS" = non-upwelling site (Houwan).

Parameter	df	Exact F	р	Fig.	Results from VTE
Source of variation					
Solaris TM spike recovery ^a					
Temperature	1	6.71	0.061	Not shown	
Time	3	7.45	0.121		
Temperature x Time	3	6.19	0.142		interaction effect
Symbiodinium genome copy proportion (GCI	?) ^a				
Temperature	1	0.128	0.739	2A	
Time	3	1.040	0.524		
Temperature x Time	3	0.588	0.679		
ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxyge	nase la	rge subunit ((rbcL) expr	ession ^a	
Temperature	1	0.047	0.839	2B	variable>stable temperature
Time	3	0.528	0.706		
Temperature x Time	3	2.21	0.326		
photosystem I (psI; subunit III) expression ^a					
Temperature	1	0.104	0.763	2C	variable>stable temperature
Time	3	0.709	0.630		UWS>NUWS
Temperature x Time	3	0.821	0.590		
phosphoglycolate phosphatase (pgpase) expre	ession	a			
Temperature	1	0.011	0.921	2D	variable>stable temperature
Time	3	0.126	0.937		
Temperature x Time	3	0.276	0.842		
nitrate transporter-2 (nrt2) expression					
Temperature	1	0.703	0.449	2E	
Time	3	0.384	0.779		
Temperature x Time	3	0.347	0.800		
ascorbate peroxidase (apx1) expression					
Temperature	1	0.053	0.830	2F	
Time	3	0.371	0.786		
Temperature x Time	3	1.81	0.375		

Notes: ^a log-transformed data.



Table A2. Host genome copy proportion (GCP) and gene expression results from the *Seriatopora hystrix* elevated temperature experiment (ETE). Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted with JMP using a MANOVA model as described in the text. Statistically significant differences are underlined. The results from the *S. hystrix* variable temperature experiment (VTE) are presented in the right-most column.

Parameter	df	Exact F	р	Fig.	Results from VTE
Source of variation					
S. hystrix genome copy proportion (GCP)					
Temperature	1	0.128	0.739	3A	
Time	3	1.040	0.524		
Temperature x Time	3	0.588	0.679		
β-actin (<i>actb</i>) expression ^a					
Temperature	1	0.740	0.438	3B	
Time	3	3.64	0.223		
Temperature x Time	3	105.3	<u>0.009</u>		variable>stable temperature
tropomyosin (trp1) expression ^b					
Temperature	1	7.33	0.073	3C	
Time	3	3.68	0.362		
Temperature x Time	3	1.093	0.591		
α-tubulin (<i>tuba</i>) expression ^b					
Temperature	1	0.492	0.522	3D	
Time	3	1.77	0.381		
Temperature x Time	3	25.0	0.039		
ezrin expression ^b					
Temperature	1	1.24	0.347	3E	
Time	3	2.20	0.452		
Temperature x Time	3	1.76	0.494		
phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression ^b					
Temperature	1	3.26	0.169	3F	
Time	3	2.23	0.449		
Temperature x Time	3	1.42	0.537		higher in transplanted corals
organic anion transporter (<i>oatp</i>) expression ^{b,c}	2				
Temperature	1	2.050	0.288	3G	
Time	3	0.264	0.849		
Temperature x Time	3	0.794	0.541		
transient receptor cation channel (trcc) expres	ssion ^b				
Temperature	1	0.305	0.619	3H	
Time	3	2.040	0.466		
Temperature x Time	3	1.47	0.529		

Notes: ^a rank-transformed data. ^b log-transformed data. ^c univariate tests were used due to lack of data sphericity.



Table A3. *Symbiodinium* and host coral gene expression results from the *Seriatopora hystrix* variable temperature experiment (VTE). Two-way ANOVAs were conducted with JMP as described in the text. Statistically significant differences are underlined. "HSD" = honestly significant difference.

Source of variation Spake	Parameter	df	MS	F	р	Tukey's HSD tests	Fig.	
<th cols="" in="" spectra="" td="" the="" the<=""><td>Source of variation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>Source of variation</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Source of variation						
Sile of origin 110.3051.650.2170.175 </td <td>Symbiodinium nitrate transporter-2 (nrt2) exp</td> <td>ression ^a</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Symbiodinium nitrate transporter-2 (nrt2) exp	ression ^a						
Importance meanment10.754.070.000Bits of origin s compensator treatment00.250.250.25Stor dorigin strengenator treatment10.0060.0150.025variable-stableStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.0160.025variable-stableAStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.0160.017variable-stableAStor dorigin strengenator treatment12140.0160.017AStor dorigin strengenator treatment12140.0160.016AStor dorigin strengenator treatment12140.016AAStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.0180.016AAStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.0190.010SrotAStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.019Nariable-straibleSrotStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.019SrotAStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.019Nariable-straibleSrotStor dorigin strengenator treatment10.019Nariable-straibleSrot	Site of origin	1	0.305	1.65	0.217		4A	
She of origin x emperature treatment10.2620.283Irror00.430.83948She of origin (1)00.0310.8050.4620.462Carporature treatment100.0130.4050.462She of origin x emperature treatment100.510.4050.462She of origin x temperature treatment110.1070.41350.007She of origin x temperature treatment1112000.1350.007She of origin x temperature treatment1112000.10750.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.1700.14350.00750.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.2100.310suble-stable50.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.1780.2400.561100.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.1780.2400.561100.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.1780.2400.521100.007She of origin x temperature treatment10.1740.1240.120100.007She origin x temperature treatment10.2140.4910.4920.491100.007She origin x temperature treatment10.2140.4910.4920.491100.007She origin x temperature treatment10.2140.2100.392100.007100.007She origin x temperature treatment1<	Temperature treatment	1	0.753	4.07	0.060			
Irring17Skelof origin10.060.0130.83AllSkelof origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression0.560.002variable-stableError10.810.560.640.56Skelof origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression0.560.47sable-svariableSkelor origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression0.131.001.01Skelor origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression0.13sable-svariable5.00Skelor origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression0.131.001.001.00Skelor origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression1.001.001.001.00Skelor origin ketskoke/protein-70 (key70) expression1.001.001.001.00Skel	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	0.226	1.22	0.285			
Systematical and a state of the state of	Error	17						
Site of origin10.0670.430.839948Bite of origin x temperature treatment10.910.0560.462 <i>Error</i> 170.930.5660.462 <i>Error</i> 170.930.5680.462Site of origin fact shock protein 70 (hg/70) expression11.30.476stables-variableSite of origin x temperature treatment11.200.91stables-variableSite origin x temperature treatment10.107stables-variableSite origin x temperature treatment10.107variables-stableSite origin x temperature treatment10.108variables-stableSite origin x temperature treatment10.107variablesSite origin x temperature treatment10.2010.887variables-stableSite origin x temperature treatment10.2010.59variables-stableSite origin x temperature treatment10.1020.135 <td>Symbiodinium heat shock protein-70 (hsp70) e</td> <td>xpression ^a</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Symbiodinium heat shock protein-70 (hsp70) e	xpression ^a						
Importance treatmentIII.3.3IL0.700.005variable-subbleSite of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.530.4625.5.5.5.Site of originI140.510.4765.0.5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI174004.130.00suble-variable5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI1220.107suble-variable5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.6880.012variable-stuble5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.6880.012variable-stuble5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.6880.012variable-stuble5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.5675.0.5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.561variable-stuble5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.3490.561variable-stuble5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.4140.4240.1245.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI0.4140.4935.0.5.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI8.0.0.4740.1355.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI8.0.0.4740.1355.0.Site of origin x tempenture treatmentI8.200.5535.0.5.0.Site of	Site of origin	1	0.006	0.043	0.839		4B	
Sile of urigin a tempenture freatment10.8010.6660.462Hrmor100.131Shystri heat shock protein-70 (hpp70) expension55Site of nigin12440.5130.475stable-variableSite of nigin temperature freatment112300.107stable-variableSite of nigin temperature freatment102300.507stable-variableSite of nigin temperature freatment100.1370.567stable-variableSite of nigin temperature freatment10.1370.5620.124stable-variableSite of nigin temperature freatment10.1370.562variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment10.1370.52variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment10.1370.52variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.410.4910.52variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.410.4910.52variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.410.4910.52variable-stable570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.410.4910.520.53start570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.410.4910.520.53start570Site of nigin temperature freatment19.420.530.23 </td <td>Temperature treatment</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.53</td> <td>10.70</td> <td>0.005</td> <td>variable>stable</td> <td></td>	Temperature treatment	1	1.53	10.70	0.005	variable>stable		
Image170.4430.4375.447Solvering heat theok protein-70 (kpp 70) expression5.40.5310.4765.4Site of origin themperature treatment1174004.1.30.001stable-variable5.4Site of origin temperature treatment11220.107stable-variable5.7Site of origin stemperature treatment10.1880.680.019variable-stable5.7Site of origin stemperature treatment10.1372.620.1245.7Site of origin stemperature treatment10.3496.680.019variable-stable5.7Site of origin stemperature treatment10.170.19variable-stable5.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.781.110.19variable-stable5.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.781.110.225.75.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.781.140.4935.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.862.470.1355.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.862.360.435.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.862.360.435.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.860.3595.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.240.435.7Site of origin stemperature treatment19.240.435.7 <td>Site of origin x temperature treatment</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.081</td> <td>0.566</td> <td>0.462</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	0.081	0.566	0.462			
Network back protein-70 (App.70) expression5Site of origin x temperature treatment117404.134.0001stable-variable5Site of origin x temperature treatment1112302.9100.107stable-variable5Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0180.01400.01800.017stable-variable5CSite of origin x temperature treatment10.0180.012variable-stable5CSite of origin x temperature treatment10.1372.620.124variable-stable5DSite of origin x temperature treatment10.1372.620.124variable-stable5DSite of origin x temperature treatment10.1470.2525D5DSite of origin x temperature treatment10.1510.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.770.2135ESite of origin x temperature treatment19.8002.360.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.770.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.870.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.870.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.870.2305ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.200.2505ESite of origin x temperature treatment18.200.2505ESite of origin	Error	17	0.143					
Site origin12140.5310.47654Temperature treatment111740041.3-0.1001stable>variableEtror1220.1075Etror10.220.107stable>variable5CSite origin (ackab) expression*10.3420.5675CTemperature treatment10.3496.680.019variable>stable5CSite origin x temperature treatment10.3420.124variable>stable5DSite origin x temperature treatment10.3420.124variable>stable5DSite origin x temperature treatment1170.199variable>stable5DSite origin x temperature treatment197.81.410.2525DSite origin x temperature treatment190.021.550.2305ESite origin x temperature treatment162001.550.2305FSite origin x temperature treatment19.400.555FSite origin x temperature treatment19.400.551.55Site origin x temperature treatment11.420.560.595FSite origin x temperature treatment11.420.051.551.551.55Site origin x temperature treatment11.420.051.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.551.55	S. hystrix heat shock protein-70 (hsp70) expres	ssion						
Image Site of origin x temperature treatmentI174041.3 $\underline{c0.001}$ subles variableSite of origin x temperature treatmentI107029100.17Site of origin5CSite of origin x temperature treatmentI0.180.4000.010variable-stable5CSite of origin x temperature treatmentI0.1372.6200.124variable-stable5CSite of origin x temperature treatmentI0.1372.6200.124variable-stable5DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI0.1372.6200.124variable-stable5DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI1241.7900.4935D5DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.6110.4935DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.1210.4875DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.1315DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.1315DSite of origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.1315DSite origin x temperature treatmentI8.170.1315DSite origin x temperature treatmentI1.3400.2120.351Site origin x temperature treatmentI1.3400.0140.972Site origin x temperature treatmentI1.9241.430.451Site origin x temperature treatmentI9.0240.551	Site of origin	1	224	0.531	0.476		5A	
Site or origin a temperature treatment112302.910.107Fruror74224235Site of origin10.0180.3000.567variable-stable5CSite of origin a temperature treatment10.1372.620.1245Site of origin a temperature treatment10.05255Site of origin a temperature treatment10.1410.4910.4925DTemperature treatment19.7.81.410.2525DTemperature treatment19.55E5ESite of origin a temperature treatment18.3.70.210.8875ESite of origin a temperature treatment18.601.550.2305ESite or origin a temperature treatment18.602.361.550.2305ESite or origin a temperature treatment18.602.360.435FSite or origin a temperature treatment18.420.0100.9725FSite or origin a temperature treatment18.420.0010.9725FSite or origin a temperature treatment11.420.0010.9725FSite or origin a temperature treatment11.920.4141.941.94Site or origin a temperature treatment10.0440.0590.2645FSite or origin a temperature treatment10.0440.0590.0241.94Site or origin a temper	Temperature treatment	1	17400	41.3	< 0.001	stable>variable		
Error 17 42 S. hyariz Pactin (acth) expression * . . Site of origin (acth) expression * 0.138 0.340 0.567 . Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.139 2.62 0.124 . Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.137 0.124 . . Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.137 0.124 . . Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.78 1.41 0.252 . . Temperature treatment 1 9.78 1.41 0.491 0.491 .	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	1230	2.91	0.107			
Shyrtir & -bertin (arch) expression 1 0.14 0.49 0.66 0.019 variable>stable St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.137 2.62 0.124 Variable>stable St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.02 Variable>stable St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 124 1.79 0.199 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 14 1.41 0.252 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.41 0.251 St St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.41 0.887 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.200 1.55 0.230 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.200 2.36 0.143 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 St St Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.21 2.40 0.58 St	Error	17	422					
Site of origin 1 0.018 0.340 0.567 SC Temperature treatment 1 0.349 6.68 0.019 variable-stable Error 17 0.052 2.62 0.124 variable-stable Site of origin temperature treatment 1 0.47 2.62 0.124 Site of origin (pr) expression 1 1.7 0.199 SD Temperature treatment 1 3.41 0.491 0.493 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 3.41 0.252 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.57 0.021 0.887 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.50 0.230 SE Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.20 0.360 0.55 Forror 1 8.20 0.360 0.57 SE Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.20 0.356 0.559 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.24 0.366 0.559 Error 1 8.24 0.36 0.559 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8.21 4.14 0.058 Forgerature treatment 1 9.21	S. hystrix β -actin (actb) expression ^a							
Temperature treatment 1 0.349 6.68 0.019 variable>stable Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.137 2.62 0.124 Site of origin 1 0.02 5D Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 124 1.79 0.199 5D Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.491 0.493 5D Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5D Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5D Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8460 2.36 0.30 5E Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 8460 2.36 0.413 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 324 0.001 0.972 5E Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 324 0.30 0.59 5G Temperature treatment 1 324 0.001 0.972 5G 5G Temperature treatment 1 0.044 <	Site of origin	1	0.018	0.340	0.567		5C	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.137 2.62 0.124 Error 0 0 0.124 Shytrix temponyosin (rp1) expression 50 Site of origin 1 124 1.79 0.199 50 Temperature treatment 1 97.8 1.41 0.252 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 94.1 0.493 55 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 62.0 0.887 55 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 62.00 1.55 0.230 55 Error 17 360 2.47 0.135 55 55 Error 17 360 2.47 0.135 55 55 Error 1 3.42 0.01 0.559 55 55 Error 1 3.82 0.36 0.43 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 3.24 0.00 0.972 55 Error 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 56 56	Temperature treatment	1	0.349	6.68	0.019	variable>stable		
Error 17 0.052 S. kirch tropomyoni (npl.) expression 50 Site of origin (npl.) expression 50 Temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.491 0.493 Ternor 17 69.5 50 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 84.60 2.47 0.135 57 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 57 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 57 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 57 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.42 0.004 0.009 0.926 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	0.137	2.62	0.124			
Shyrix traponyosin (<i>trp1</i>) expression5DSite of origin11241.790.1995DTemperature treatment197.81.410.252Site of origin x temperature treatment197.80.4910.493Tror17700.501.501.50Site of origin x temperature treatment182.001.550.230Temperature treatment192.001.550.230Grorigin x temperature treatment194.001.550.230Site of origin x temperature treatment194.001.550.230Fror194.000.9721.551.55Site of origin x temperature treatment182.00.3560.559Fror1.71.721.721.72Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0240.0010.972Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0240.0581.55Grore19.0240.0591.55Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0240.0051.55Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0240.0261.55Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0440.0010.902Site of origin x temperature treatment19.040.0120.103Site of origin x temperature treatment19.040.0120.103Site of origin x temperature treatment19.0712 <td< td=""><td>Error</td><td>17</td><td>0.052</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Error	17	0.052					
Site of origin 1 124 1.79 0.199 5D Temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.252 5 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.491 0.491 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 69.5 5 5 Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5E Temperature treatment 1 6200 1.55 0.230 5E Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 Foror 17 3400 5F 5E Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Temperature treatment 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable 5H Error 17 0.464 17 0.021 </td <td>S. hystrix tropomyosin (trp1) expression</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	S. hystrix tropomyosin (trp1) expression							
Temperature treatment 1 97.8 1.41 0.252 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.491 0.493 Error 1 65.0 5 5 5 Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5E Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5E Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 Error 17 3400 5F 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 82.2 0.356 0.559 Error 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 82 0.356 0.559 Error 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.044 0.059 926 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.044 0.058 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error	Site of origin	1	124	1.79	0.199		5D	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 34.1 0.491 0.493 Error 17 69.5 5 S. hystrix a-tubulin (uba) expression 5 Site of origin (uba) expression 1 82.0 0.887 5E Temperature treatment 1 6200 1.55 0.230 5E Origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 Error 17 3400 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 1072 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 NUWS-variable>-UWS-variable Error 17 0.404 0.004 0.902 0.926 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>-UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 0.16 0.902 5H 5H Temperature treatment </td <td>Temperature treatment</td> <td>1</td> <td>97.8</td> <td>1.41</td> <td>0.252</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Temperature treatment	1	97.8	1.41	0.252			
Error 17 69.5 S. hystrix a-tubulin (tuba) expression S Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 SE Ernoperature treatment 1 62.00 1.55 0.230 SE Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 SE Error 17 3400 Set	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	34.1	0.491	0.493			
S. Aystrix a-tubulin (tuba) expression 58 Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 Temperature treatment 1 6200 1.55 0.230 Site of origin x temperature treatment 17 8400 0.135 Error 17 3400 56 Site of origin Systrix expression 57 Temperature treatment 1 8240 0.143 57 Temperature treatment 1 822 0.356 0.559 55 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 822 0.356 0.559 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.004 0.092 56 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.044 0.058 56 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.044 0.059 56 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9.044 0.058 56 56 Group in x temperature treatment 1 9.044 0.016 0.902 57 Site of origin x temperature treatment	Error	17	69.5					
Site of origin 1 83.7 0.021 0.887 5E Temperature treatment 1 6200 1.55 0.230 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 Error 17 3400 2.47 0.135 5F Site of origin <i>expression</i> 5 55 55 55 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 56 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 56 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment	S. hystrix a-tubulin (tuba) expression							
Temperature treatment 1 6200 1.55 0.230 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9800 2.47 0.135 Error 0 3400 5 5 S. hystrix errin expression 3 2.36 0.143 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 382 0.356 0.559 Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.064 0.04 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.064 0.016 0.902 SH Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.16 0.103 5H Temperature treatment<	Site of origin	1	83.7	0.021	0.887		5E	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 9860 2.47 0.135 Error 17 3400 5 S. Mystrix errin expression 5 2.36 0.143 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 5F Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 Temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.024 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 0.058 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 0.16 0.902 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.00 0.712 0.411 Temperature treatment 1 1.04 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069 5H 5H Temperature treatment 1 0	Temperature treatment	1	6200	1.55	0.230			
Error 17 3400 S. hystrix ezrin expression 5 Site of origin 1 2540 2.36 0.143 5F Temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 5 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 5 5G Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>/UWS-variable>/UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 1006 0.902 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.103 51 Error 1 0.001 <td>Site of origin x temperature treatment</td> <td>1</td> <td>9860</td> <td>2.47</td> <td>0.135</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	9860	2.47	0.135			
S. hystrix exrin expression Site of origin 1 2540 2.36 0.143 5F Temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 5 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.350 0.559 Error 17 1072 5 5G S. hystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression 5G 5G Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>/UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 0.016 0.902 5H 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.11 2.97 0.103 5H 5H Error 17 4.069 5H 5H 5H 5	Error	17	3400					
Site of origin125402.360.1435FTemperature treatment11.340.0010.972Site of origin x temperature treatment13820.3560.559Error17107255Shystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression55656Temperature treatment11.924.140.05856Temperature treatment10.0040.0900.92656Site of origin x temperature treatment12.214.750.044NUWS-variable>JUWS-variableError170.4640.0160.9025HSite of origin x temperature treatment12.900.7120.411Site of origin x temperature treatment12.900.1120.411Site of origin x temperature treatment12.900.7120.411Site of origin x temperature treatment12.970.103Error170.0040.94851Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0740.434Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0740.94851Temperature treatment10.0740.5150.48351Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0740.38751Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0740.38751Temperature treatment10.0740.38751Site of origin x temperature treatment10.14<	S. hystrix ezrin expression							
Temperature treatment 1 1.34 0.001 0.972 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 $5.$ S. hystrix phospholipase- $a2$ (cplap2) expression $5.$ $5.$ Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 $5G$ Temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 $5G$ Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 $NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.644 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.103 0.74 0.515 Error 17 0.001 0.004 0.948 5I Error 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 5I Error 1 0.074$	Site of origin	1	2540	2.36	0.143		5F	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 382 0.356 0.559 Error 17 1072 1072 S. hystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression 56 Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 56 Temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 0.016 0.902 5H Site of origin a temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.97 0.103 5H Terror 17 4.069 2.97 0.103 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.97 0.103 5H Error 17 4.069 5I 5I 5I S. hystrix transient receptor cation channel (trcc) expression 5I 5I 5I Temperature treatment 1 0.071 0.483 5I Group in x temperature treatm	Temperature treatment	1	1.34	0.001	0.972			
Error 17 1072 S. hystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Site of origin 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 5G Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 1 5. hystrix organic anion transporter (oatp) expression 5H Site of origin 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.103 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment (trec expression of the second of the	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	382	0.356	0.559			
S. hystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Site of origin 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 1 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 1 5H 1 5H Site of origin anion transporter (oatp) expression 5H 1 5H 1 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069 5I 5I Site of origin x temperature treatment (trcc) expression 5I 5I Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 5I Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 5I Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.14	Error	17	1072					
Site of origin 1 1.92 4.14 0.058 5G Temperature treatment 1 0.004 0.009 0.926 1004 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variable Error 17 0.464 1 1.0064 0.016 0.902 5H Site of origin 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 1.2.1 2.97 0.103 5H Error 17 4.069 5 5H 5H 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment (trec) expression 5U 5H 5H 5H Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 5H 5H Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 5H 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 5H 5H Error 17	S. hystrix phospholipase-a2 (cplap2) expression	n						
Temperature treatment1 0.004 0.009 0.926 Site of origin x temperature treatment1 2.21 4.75 0.044 NUWS-variable>UWS-variableError17 0.464 0.016 0.902 $5H$ Site of origin1 0.064 0.016 0.902 $5H$ Temperature treatment1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment1 2.90 0.103 $5H$ Error17 4.069 $5H$ $5H$ Site of origin1 0.001 0.004 0.948 $5H$ Site of origin x temperature treatment (<i>trcc</i>) ===================================	Site of origin	1	1.92	4.14	0.058		5G	
Site of origin x temperature treatment12.214.750.044NUWS-variable>UWS-variableError170.4640.0160.9025HSite of origin x temperature treatment10.0640.0160.9025HSite of origin x temperature treatment112.12.970.103Frror174.0695I5ISite of origin10.0010.0040.9485ISite of origin x temperature treatment10.0740.5150.483Site of origin x temperature treatment10.0130.7870.387Frror10.1130.7870.387	Temperature treatment	1	0.004	0.009	0.926			
Error 17 0.464 S. hystrix organic anion transporter (oatp) expression 5 Site of origin 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	2.21	4.75	0.044	NUWS-variable>UWS-variable		
S. hystrix organic anion transporter (oatp) expression 51 Site of origin 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 5H Error 17 4.069 5 5H 5H 5H Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 5H Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 5H 5H	Error	17	0.464					
Site of origin 1 0.064 0.016 0.902 5H Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069	S. hystrix organic anion transporter (oatp) exp	oression						
Temperature treatment 1 2.90 0.712 0.411 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069	Site of origin	1	0.064	0.016	0.902		5H	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 12.1 2.97 0.103 Error 17 4.069 5 S. hystrix transient receptor cation channel (trcc) expression * 5 Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 51 Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 51 51	Temperature treatment	1	2.90	0.712	0.411			
Error 17 4.069 S. hystrix transient receptor cation channel (trcc) expression a a Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 51 Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 51 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 51 51	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	12.1	2.97	0.103			
S. hystrix transient receptor cation channel (trcc) expression a 0.001 0.004 0.948 5I Site of origin 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 5I Temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 51	Error	17	4.069					
Site of origin 1 0.001 0.004 0.948 5I Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 51 0.113 0.113 0.113	S. hystrix transient receptor cation channel (tr	cc) express	ion ^a					
Temperature treatment 1 0.074 0.515 0.483 Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144 1 0.144 1	Site of origin	1	0.001	0.004	0.948		51	
Site of origin x temperature treatment 1 0.113 0.787 0.387 Error 17 0.144	Temperature treatment	1	0.074	0.515	0.483			
Error 17 0.144	Site of origin x temperature treatment	1	0.113	0.787	0.387			
	Error	17	0.144					

Notes: ^a log-transformed data.



Table A4. Microsatellite analysis of host corals from the variable temperature experiment (VTE). Seven microsatellite loci were assessed in order to genotype the 12 *Seriatopora hystrix* colonies (6 from the upwelling site [UWS; i.e., Houbihu] and 6 from the non-upwelling site [NUWS; i.e., Houwan]) of the VTE. Additional details about the microsatellites (e.g., fluorescent labeling of the respective primers) can be found in the manuscripts in which they were first published (Maier et al., 2001; 2005; 2009; Underwood et al., 2006). "F" and "R" are abbreviations for forward and reverse, respectively.

Locus	NCBI accession number	Primer sequences ('5-3')	Motif	Annealing temperature (℃)	
GL 4 001 D 0101550		F: ACTTCATTCAATTATCCATAAAGTCAT		<u>(1</u>	
5114-001	DQ131372	R: ATCACTGATTAGACTTACAAACAAACTG	$(CAII)_{10}$	01	
SEO 15	1.201005	F: CGTGCCACTGTGATTTCTTC		<u>(</u>)	
Sn2.15	A1 604005	R: AACAAAAACGTCTCCATTACCC	$(CA)_{18}$	60	
SF3 003	DO121572	F: GTGAATAAGAACGACGGA		<u>(</u>)	
Sh2-002 D	DQ131575	R: AAATACTAATTACAGGCATGAC	$(CA)_{18}$	00	
SI-4 29	A E220760	F: TGTGGTCTACAGTATATCTTTTGTG		<u>(1</u>	
5114.28	AI 320709	R: CAAATTTGAATCTACAGTGGGG	$(CA)_{11}$	01	
SH2 000	DO121577	F: CAAATACCAATCAGTGTAGCA		<u>(1</u>	
Sn2-006	DQ131377	R: GGCCTAATATCTGTCTCCTTC	$(C1)_8, 11, (C1)_7$	61	
S1 4 24	11/00/00/	F: TCCTCCAGATGAATTTGAACG		<u>(1</u>	
Sh4.24	AY 604006	R: TTCAGGGAAGATTTGCCG	$(CA)_{37}$	61	
SI 2 004	D0121575	F: GGTTACTTATGTGTTTTGCTT		52	
Sh3-004	DQ131575	R: AGTTGATGTTGTTGCCTT	$(AAC)_{12}$	53	